

# Transaction oriented DNS flow analysis (WIP)

Shigeya Suzuki / Bill Manning  
WIDE Project

USC/ISI & Keio University + Auto-ID Labs Japan

CAIDA Workshop 2006 @ISI, March 17th 2006

# Topics

- Current on-going work @ISI
- DNS Flow profile
- Strategy and Tools
- Applicability to Amplifier Attack

# Current on going works

- (1) ONS (Object Naming System) over DNSSec
- (2) DNSSec Operational Considerations
- (3) Considerations on autonomous operations
  - Name decoupling from external authority
  - Friend/Foe determination
  - (Packet origination check)
- (4) Traffic analysis on some of heavily loaded DNS servers
- (5) DNS Cache effectiveness
- (6) (... and little more not related to DNS)

# Current on going works

- (1) ONS (Object Naming System) over DNSSec
- (2) DNSSec Operational Considerations
- (3) Considerations on autonomous operations
  - Name decoupling from external authority
  - Friend/Foe determination
  - (Packet origination check)
- (4) Traffic analysis on some of heavily loaded DNS servers
- (5) DNS Cache effectiveness
- (6) (... and little more not related to DNS)

# Transaction oriented DNS flow analysis

- Intent to see what's happening in transaction/session oriented view
- Want to see how change of profile (protocol or anomalies) cause:
  - Resource consumption
  - Response delay
  - Or other properties

# Example:

## DNS Cache Effectiveness

- Take dump of the traffic of the server of interest
- Extract transaction in the packet flow
- Co-relate these transaction into sessions
  - Possibly fill in gaps, which created by "cache hit"
- Compare "real" sessions and "cache-less" sessions and see which part of the RR caching is effective or not, etc.

## Example: Estimation of effects of DNSSEC Deployment

- Take dump of the traffic of the server of interest
- Extract transaction in the packet flow
- Co-relate these transaction into sessions
  - Possibly fill in gaps, which created by "cache hit"
- Insert extra DNSSEC transactions as necessary
- Modify(fallback) to EDNS0, if necessary
- Compare "current" sessions and "DNSSEC-aware" sessions and see how they're different

# So... I need tools!

## - Design Guideline -

- Use "pcap" as data source
- Possibly run 'on the fly' basis
- Analyze large amount of data in relatively short amount of time
- Build as a framework: create set of classes to
  - handle packets/frames/flow
  - find and relate transactions/sessions
  - "pcap" file and device bridge
  - .. and other utilities
- Possibly store part of information to SQL database or data mining oriented DBs for analysis



# Current version

- Implemented in C++, for better performance
  - 7.9mil packets, 80sec @CoreDuo 2.0G
- Accept dump files, but designed to accept live pcap feeds
- On memory data only, with "light" abstraction layer
- Set of classes for packet/frames
- Not a command line tool. Just set of libraries

# DNS Flow profile differences

- What's the difference between:
  - A. "Normal" DNS flow
  - B. "Well behaved" DNSSEC flow
  - C. Flow under some type of attack like Amplifier attack
- How we can distinguish B and C?

# "Normal" DNS Flow

- Request Packet
  - Question to Ask
- Reply Packet
  - Question, Answer, Auth section, Additional Section
- Amount of flow in each direction is very similar

# "Well Behaved" DNSSEC Flow

- ◉ Request Packet
  - ◉ Question to ask
- ◉ Reply Packet
  - ◉ Question, Answer, Auth, Additional
  - ◉ Auth and Additional will contain DNSSEC specific RRs such as RRSIG, NSEC, DS,
- ◉ Amount of data replied is a lot bigger
- ◉ If zone manager installed extra keys. it will cost more too
- ◉ signature lifetime/TTL affect situation too

# Amplifier Attack Flow

- Request Packet
  - Question to Ask
- Reply Packets
  - Question, Answer, Auth section, Additional Section
- Amount of data replied is a lot bigger

# Amplifier Attack

- A. Set-up authoritative server with a zone which have a label with several long data (like TXT)
  - B. Find some recursive resolver
  - C. Find a victim
  - D. Send a query of the label made ready in (A) with ANY type request, to servers (B) above, with spoofed packet look like request from a victim (C)
- At most, x73 amplification performance. Some of these attack cause 10Gbps traffic.

[http://www.us-cert.gov/reading\\_room/DNS-recursion121605.pdf](http://www.us-cert.gov/reading_room/DNS-recursion121605.pdf)

# DNS flow profiling meaningful?

- Possibly, Yes
  - Operators want to know the trend of change from, for example, traffic engineering point of view
  - Core DNS server operators may have benefit from this

## Extra topic:

### How we can find Open Recursive Server?

- We need to find way to mitigate effect of amplifier attack - need to way to find Open Recursive Server
- Active
  - Send a query, check RA on or not
- Passive
  - Traffic analysis
  - Data analysis (RA flag, etc.)
- Unfortunately, all of these are incomplete



# Next Steps

- We have a few set of DNS traffic dump in different characteristics. Apply the tool to these data to:
  - understand how DNS cache is effective
  - how deployment of DNSSEC affect flow
  - Paper or report will be ready before summertime
- Plan to continuously improve this tool, and make them available publicly, possibly during FY2006